

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tendennek Office Address COMMISSICNER OF EXTENTS AND TRADEMAKES Washington, D. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/831,534 06/18/2001		06/18/2001	Bryan John Smith	1300-1-008	5753	
23565	7590	01/13/2003				
KLAUBER	& JACK	KSON	EXAMINER			
411 HACKE HACKENS <i>A</i>			DIBRINO, MARIANNE NMN			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1644	(1	
				DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003	*	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. **09/831,534**

DiBrino, Marianne

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit 1644

Smith

Office Action Summary

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SH THE! - Extens mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	For Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In plate of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	no event, however, ma ne statutory minimum o and will expire SIX (6) No ne application to become	y a reply f thirty (3 MONTHS f a ABAND	be timely filed after SI O) days will be considerom the mailing date ONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	X (6) MONTHS from the series of this communication.		
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 3, 20	02			•		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.			•			
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>			is/are pend	ling in the application.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s)				is/are witl	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are	allowed.		
6) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are	objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-13</u>	are s	subject	to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
	tion Papers				•		
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	or b)	objected to I	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held	in abe	yance. See 37 (CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a	a) 🗌 a	approved b)	disapproved by the Examine		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action	on.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr		u.s.c.	§ 119(a)-(d) o	r (f).		
a) ∟	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.	•				
,	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	in App	olication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).		National Stage		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
14) ∐ a) □	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
15) 🗌	The translation of the foreign language provisiona Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				for 121		
Attachm		priority under 3:	0.3.	C. 33 120 and/	01 121.		
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr	nary (PTC	D-413) Paper No(s).			
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) [] Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Serial No. 09/831,534 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is required to (1) elect a single disclosed species (a <u>specific hybrid</u> <u>protein/pharmaceutical composition thereof</u>, for example, a hybrid protein comprising a monovalent fab fragment covalently linked to albumin by a bridging molecule) to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

These species are distinct because their structures are different.

- 2. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the prior art references WO 92/00763A, WO 95/33492A and Yukawa et al (submitted by Applicant with Applicant's IPER and/or IDS) teach the hybrid protein of claim 1, i.e., IGM-Fab'-HSA conjugates, Fab'-BSA-daumocycin conjugates and Fab'-BSA conjugates, respectively.
- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 3. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Serial No. 09/831,534 Art Unit 1644

IJ

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne DiBrino whose telephone number is (703) 308-0061. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Marianne DiBrino, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Group 1640

Technology Center 1600

January 6, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600